DDDG Technical Subgroup Meeting 13

27 October 2026 at 11:00 - Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
Attendees	
Ahna Taylor [AT]	SSE
Alexander Pentecost [AP]	Eclipse Power
Chris Barker [Cb]	ENWL
Chris Ong [CO]	UKPN
Erik Baguzis [EB]	Indigo Networks
James Dorsey [JD]	Urban Chain
Joshua McGarry [JM]	SE First
Karl Maryon [KM]	Drax
Peter Waymont [PW]	UKPN
Rob Taylor [RT]	Smartest Energy
Seun Adedapo [SA]	NGED
Code Administrator	
Furqan Aziz [FA]	Chair
Hannah Proffitt [HP]	Secretariat
Apologies	
Georgia Preece [GP]	NPg

1. Administration

Recording

1.1 The Chair reminded members that this meeting is recorded. The purpose of this recording is purely to aid the Technical Secretariat in producing an accurate report of the meeting.

Minutes of the Previous Meeting

1.2 No comments were raised. Updates on the actions can be found in the appendix.

1.3 The slides presented are included as **Attachment 1**.

2. Update on Phase 2 Work

2.1 The Chair advised that work has begun on Phase 2 of the project and provided the below timeline.

Phase 2	
Oct	Technical build
Nov	Sign-off
Dec	Launch
Jan	Phase 3

2.2 The Chair advised that the DDDG and DDDG TSG meetings in December will be cancelled. No members objected.

2.3 The Chair presented a table including feedback/questions that have been received regarding the dashboard and suggested resolutions. The Chair asked members for their approval to move forward with each update. A summary of the items and decisions made by the group is below.

Item	Name	Description	Agreed
1	Rates not visible w/o scrolling	The unite rate columns are pushed off screen resulting in the user having to scroll across to see the rates. **Proposed fix:** move the following to after the rates: 1) Charging Year, 2) Profile Class, 3) Open LLFC, 4) Closed LLFC.	Yes
2	Horizontal scroll bar too narrow	The scroll bar at the top and bottom of the table is too thin. Users struggle to grab it and scroll across to see the data.	Yes
3	GSP alignment with ID	GSP groups align one-to-one with (host) Distributor ID _A with 10, _B with 11, _C with 12……and so on.	Yes
4	Remove distributor ID from Area filter	The Area filter currently shows the distributor ID before the area name. With IDNO data added, this no longer holds as Independents have their own ID.	Yes

5	Host DNO filter shows parent DNO groups	Host DNO filter becomes the parent DNO groups (UKPN, SPN, SSEN, ENWL, NGED, NPG)	No
6	Filters work together	Each filter option shows every option which means users can pick combinations that return no results. **Proposed fix:** when a value is selected, the other filters update to show only options that hold data.	Yes

2.4 Regarding item 3 'GSP alignment with ID', JD noted he believed the two are aligned and therefore one field is redundant.

2.5 RT noted that he has seen examples of IDNOs where their GSP group is a number larger than 23 and asked whether this will be aligned. The Chair agreed that this needs to be explored and added that the filters will need to be amended for the inclusion of IDNOs as the DNO Areas do not apply.

2.6 JD noted that when talking about the host DNO and GSP, they have to be identical in all cases even when an IDNO is operating.

2.7 RT added that embedded networks also will not map one to one. The Chair agreed to investigate embedded networks, how they relate to the available source data, and whether their rates are included.

> Action 13/01 – The Chair to look into embedded networks and any associated data implications.

2.8 AP noted that IDNOs with direct transmission connections may need to be assigned to the physically relevant GSP area for Annex 2 and Annex 6, despite not being connected through the host DNO. This was recorded as a consideration for the future data model.

2.9 RT raised whether unlicensed DNOs should be included. AP explained that such networks are not required to publish charging methodologies and statements unless a third-party access request exists. CB suggested excluding them because they are generally outside the DCUSA scope. The group agreed.

2.10 Regarding items 4 'Remove Distributor ID from Area filter' and 5 'Host DNO filter shows parent DNO groups' members discussed and agreed to remove the Distribution ID from the Area filter and provide separate filters for GSP, operator name, Distribution ID and MPID, while removing the potentially confusing 'Host' wording.

2.11 Members identified two updates needed to the spreadsheet containing the DNO and IDNO data. The Chair agreed to circulate this for members to quality check.

> Action 13/02 – The Chair to circulate the DNO/IDNO reference spreadsheet to members for review.

2.12 The Chair advised that, at the previous meeting, the group had agreed to prioritise the inclusion of IDNO Annex 1 data and Annex 2 and Annex 6 data in Phase 2.

IDNO Data

2.13 AP noted that some IDNO methodologies allow adjusted Annex 1 charges, including site-specific HV or EHV generation tariffs. These may create an additional tariff line rather than replacing one of the standard tariffs.

2.14 RT identified an IDNO tariff with a different site-specific name, and JD supplied an example from their database. The Chair asked for examples so the development team can determine whether distinct names should remain separate or whether any can be standardised.

2.15 AP noted that some IDNO Annex 1 tariffs may have no applicable Annex 4 reactive-power charge, meaning the relevant field should remain blank rather than being populated artificially.

Annex 2 and Annex 6 Data

2.16 The Chair asked members if the current dashboard/filter structure will work for the additional data.

2.17 Because Annex 2 has a substantially different structure from Annex 1, the group agreed to use a separate Annex 2 tab while retaining the common filter area. Users should be able to switch between Annex 1 and Annex 2 without reapplying the filters.

2.18 The Chair asked whether the format of the Annex 2 data is the same across all DNOs/IDNOs.

2.19 Members discussed whether the Annex 2 data should be split into 2A and 2B to separate import and export or whether the amalgamated Annex 2 tab should be used. CO noted that all 14 DNOs use this split, but that he cannot speak for IDNOs.

2.20 JM confirmed that not all IDNOs use the 2A and 2B split and that some of the naming conventions on Annex 2 can be different. RT added that not all IDNOs use the same layout as the host DNOs.

2.21 The group agreed to use the combined Annex 2 data rather than the separate 2A and 2B tabs. The Chair noted that they may include a filter on import and export.

2.22 AP asked whether the data should be split to have an individual line per MPAN. PW noted that splitting them could obscure whether they share a single fixed or capacity charge and could create misleading visibility of charges. The group decided to keep multiple MPANs on an aggregated row for now.

2.23 The group agreed that Annex 6 will be included because it contains new or amended EHV sites and supports the Annex 2 data process. The information will be used to keep Annex 2 rates current.

2.24 The group discussed and agreed that loss-factor tables in Annex 6 should initially be excluded. CO explained that these are handled under the BSC rather than being a DCUSA requirement, so incorporating them would add complexity without being necessary for the dashboard's current purpose.

Download CSV File

2.25 The Chair asked if the download CSV file needs any amendments for Phase 2. The group agreed that the download should mirror the visible tariff table and respect all applied filters.

Time Periods Tab

2.26 The time-period tab will include the Annex 2 super-red time band. CO explained that the exact super-red period can differ between DNOs, although it typically applies during winter weekday periods, so the data must be taken from each network's published information. AP noted that the same would apply for IDNOs.

Assumptions Tab

2.27 The Chair advised that the assumptions tab will be updated to document the inclusion of Annex 2 and IDNO data, together with other decisions made during the build.

3. Further Phase 2 Items

AI Use Transparency

3.1 The Chair advised that at the previous meeting the group had agreed that transparency around using AI required further discussion.

3.2 The Chair confirmed that AI is being used to access network websites, retrieve charging statements, extract information into spreadsheets and datasets, and review the resulting data for errors. The Chair noted that this is particularly important because the project includes more than 1,000 charging statements that would take substantially longer to process manually.

3.3 The Chair noted that the main developer remains responsible for overseeing the automated work and checking for significant errors or inconsistencies. AI output will therefore remain subject to human review.

3.4 The Chair stated that the website will be updated to explain how AI is used in Phase 2, including its role in data retrieval, transformation and checking to provide transparency to users.

3.5 **Pipeline: CIRP and API**

3.6 The Chair noted that at the previous meeting the group had agreed to investigate the possibility of including CIRP data and an API in Phase 2. The Chair provided the following updates.

3.7 The Chair recommended postponing the API until the data structure, labels and formats are stable. The group accepted that the API should be reviewed in Phase 3 rather than implemented against an evolving dataset.

3.8 Regarding the Cost Information Review Pack (CIRP) data, AT proposed adding the data as it provides the only publicly available forward-looking view of charges. AP agreed that the data will be useful. CO explained that the relevant information is in the tariff-summary section and includes future all-the-way and boundary-related charges.

3.9 The Chair explained that extracting, cleansing, checking and presenting the data will require several weeks. CB added that CIRP would need a separate interface because it lacks fields such as LLFC class and profile class.

3.10 The group chose to keep Annex 2 and Annex 6 as the Phase 2 priority and move CIRP, alongside the API, to Phase 3 unless priorities are explicitly changed.

ECC – Impact on Phase 2 and Beyond

3.11 The Chair advised that the planned merger of DCUSA and CUSC into the ECC is not currently blocking Phase 2, although its effect on Phase 3 and later work will depend on the future code manager's digitalisation strategy.

3.12 The Chair noted that the current target for the new combined code is the first quarter of 2028, with RECCo considered the likely code manager. The detailed digitalisation strategy has not yet been produced. The main concern raised at the strategy day was avoiding technology or development work that would need to be abandoned after the code transition.

3.13 The Chair confirmed that Phase 2 will continue while the ECC direction remains unresolved, noting that clearer guidance is expected around January or February 2027.

4. Any Other Business

4.1 The Chair asked members whether there was any other business, to which nothing was raised.

Attachments

- Attachment 1 - DDDG TSG Meeting 13 Slides

New and Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
10/01	DJ took an action to investigate which IDNOs have different charges and to feed this back to the Chair.	DJ	**Action ongoing.**
12/01	CO to discuss with the other DNOs, the possibility of eliminating Annex 6 by replacing the relevant Annex 2 dataset in full whenever it changes, with a change or effective-date field to show updates.	CO	**Action ongoing.**
13/01	The Chair to look into embedded networks and any associated data implications.	The Chair	**New action.**
13/02	The Chair to circulate the DNO/IDNO reference spreadsheet to members for review.	The Chair	**New action.**

Closed Actions

Action Ref.			Update

DCUSA